

# UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCK FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. YHK-039  $\vdash$ CHOI 02/28/00 09/514,250 **EXAMINER** MM91/1012 CHANG, A Fleshner and Kim PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P 0 Box 221200 Chantilly VA 20153-1200 2872

DATE MAILED:

10/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/514,250	CHOI ET AL.
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	-Art-Unit-
			2872
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the corresp ndence addr ss
eriod for	Reply  RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re earned	RTENED STATUTORY ELITION AND ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND ADDRESS OF THE COMMUNICATION AN	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the prind will apply and will expire SIX (6) Meaning the statutory minimum of the prind will apply and will expire SIX (6) Meaning the statut	a reply be timely med  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ONTHS (SEC) (25 LS C S 133).
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 August 2001 .	
1)⊠	0-10/	Thic action is non-illial.	
2a)☐ 3)☐	This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
. 57	Olaim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
٠/ڪ	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-40</u> is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.		
_,	oleim(s) is/are objected to.		
اراره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•
	tion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
9)[_	: (a/ara: a)	Jaccepted OLDN I oblected to	by the Examiner.
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a/L  Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
44	The proposed drawing correction filed or	Is: a) L_ approved by	, L. J. W. W. W. J. W.
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
1 400	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
ĺ	a as 440 and 120		
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13)[∑	Acknowledgine it is made of a standard	-	
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	d.
	مراهي والمراجع	aumonte have neen receive	u III Application
	3 Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have	2(a)).
	application from the internat	the state contified conid	es not received.
4.45		domestic priority under 33 c	),O.O. 3 11 9 ( · ) ( · )
14)L	<ul><li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang</li><li>☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li></ul>	uage provisional application domestic priority under 35	has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ı			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	O-948) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:
3) 🖾	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revolution Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revolution Notice (PTO-1449) Paging Revolution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paging Revolution Disclosure Statement	per NO(5)	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/514,250

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of species I (claims 1-14) in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Applicant states that claim 1 is generic to claims 2-18 but without giving any reason. The examiner does not agree with the applicant's claim since the features recited in claims 15-18 are patently distinct from claim 1. The applicant fail to state that if claims 15-18 are obviously variations to claims 1-14 so they are distinct.
- 3. Claims 15-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 11.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to teach adequately how to make a diffractive optical element having just a single groove since the diffraction effect of the diffractive optical element is base on the interference of light reflected or transmitted by plurality of regularly oriented grooves on the diffractive optical

Application/Control Number: 09/514,250

Art Unit: 2872

element. A single groove having rotation symmetry will not make a diffractive optical element. Clarifications are required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 8, 10-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the patent issued to Tezuka et al (PN. 5,170,207).

Tezuka et al teaches a projection lens system (7) that is comprised of a plurality of lenses with a Fresnel lens (9) formed on a surface among the plurality of lenses, (please see Figure 3, column 5).

Fresnel lens is known in the art as a diffractive optical element.

With regard to claim 6, Tezuka et al teaches that the Fresnel lens is provided to correct both the on-axial and off-axial chromatic aberration of the projection lens system, (please see column 4, lines 25-32).

With regard to claims 3-4, 7, 10-11 and 14, Tezuka et al teaches that the Fresnel lens is formed by providing on a planar substrate with a concentrical array of blazed grooves, (please see Figure 1 and column 2, lines 32-36). The concentric array of blazed grooves is implicitly having rotation symmetry. Tezuka et al also teaches that the Fresnel lens has positive focal length which means it has positive refractive power, (please see column 3, lines 47-50).

This reference has therefore anticipated the claims.

Art Unit: 2872

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 6, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent issued to Tezuka et al in view of the patent issued to Chen et al (PN. 5,969,864).

The projection lens system having Fresnel lens element taught by Tezuka et al as described for claims 1 and 8 above has met all the limitations of the claims with the exception that it discloses that the Fresnel lens is formed on a plane surface but it does not teach explicitly that it is also possible to be formed on a spherical surface. However in general a Fresnel lens can typically be made on either a planar substrate or a spherical surface of a refractive lens as demonstrated by the teachings of Chen et al (please see column 1, lines 29-32). It would then have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the projection lens system of Tezuka et al to make the Fresnel lens at one of the spherical surface of the lens elements for the benefit of providing an alternative arrangement for correcting the aberrations of the projection lens system.

10. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent issued to Tezuka et al.

The projection lens system including a Fresnel lens element taught by Tezuka et al as described for claims 1 and 8 above has met all the limitations of the claims. This reference has disclosed that the Fresnel lens element is made with a material having negative dispersion and to have positive refractive power. It however does not teach explicitly that the Fresnel lens may also be made with a negative

Art Unit: 2872

refractive power. But Tezuka et al does teach a general condition, including the characteristics of the dispersion and the refractive power, for the lens elements and the Fresnel lens to correct the chromatic aberrations of the projection lens system, (please see column 3, lines 35-60). It would then have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Fresnel lens of Tezukas's projection lens to be made by a material having positive dispersion and negative refractive power to also satisfy the criterion for correcting the chromatic aberrations since it has been held when the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Also it has been held it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended used as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

  US patent issued to Ogino et al (PN. 5,758,940) teaches a projector system for liquid crystal projection display that includes a Fresnel lens formed on a spherical surface. US patent issued to Kuba (PN. 5,623,365) teaches a diffractive optical element for a projection lens that has a negative refractive power.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-6208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1637. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

A. Chang, Ph.D. October 10, 2001

Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872